UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,811	12/30/2003	Kristine B. Fuimaono	51640/AW/W112	7196
	7590 03/03/200 RKER & HALE, LLP	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 7068		BOUCHELLE, LAURA A		
PASADENA, CA 91109-7068			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/03/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/748,811	FUIMAONO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	LAURA A. BOUCHELLE	3763
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror ute, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers	awn from consideration. /or election requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a deplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the second state of the second sec	ecepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is old	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date

Application/Control Number: 10/748,811 Page 2

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/20/08 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buelna et al (US 5605539) in view of Gentelia et al (US 5267994). Buelna discloses an irrigation probe comprising a rigid probe body 22 that is generally rigid (abstract), a non retractable electrode 28 defining an inner cavity and having at least one irrigation opening 30, the electrode fixedly attached to the distal end of the probe body, And a means for introducing fluid into the inner cavity including an infusion tube 36 extending though the probe body 22. See Fig. 2. The probe body, infusion tube, and electrode comprise a single unitary, generally hollow body. See Fig. 2. The device includes a handle 34.
- 4. Claims 1, 7 differ from Buelna in calling for the electrode form an angle with the distal end of the probe body. Gentelia teaches a probe body having an electrode 20C at the distal end

Application/Control Number: 10/748,811

Art Unit: 3763

attached at an angle relative to the distal end of the probe body to allow for increased control and reduced damage to surrounding tissues (col. 3, lines 45-50). The limitation "attached at a single location" is interpreted to mean attached at at least a single location because being attached at a single location does not preclude it from being attached at another location as well. Being attached at a single location is different than being attached *only* at a single location. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the device of Buelna to include an electrode attached at an angle as taught by Gentelia to allow for increased control of the electrode and reduced damage to surround tissue.

Page 3

- 5. Claim 9 calls for a non-conductive sheath covering a portion of the tubular body.

 Gentelia discloses that the probe body is made of a non-conductive material to protect the patient from accidental shocks (col. 4, lines 25-27). The sheath is considered to be a portion of the probe body. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the device of Buelna to include a non-conductive sheath as taught by Gentelia to shield the patient from accidental shocks.
- 6. Claims 10-12 differ from Buelna in calling for the diameter of the probe. Buelna teaches that the probe has a diameter of 10mm (.39 in) to allow for the probe to be used with conventional laproscopic tools (col. 4, lines 14-16). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the device of Buelna to have a diameter of about 0.4 inches as taught by Gentelia to allow the probe to be used with standard laproscopic tools.
- 7. Claim 14 calls for the fluid to be introduced into the probe using flexible plastic tubing.

 Buelna is silent as to the tubing used for delivering the infusion fluid. However, it is standard in

Art Unit: 3763

the art to use flexible plastic tubing for its biocompatibility and non-reactiveness, and it allows for the handheld tool to be moved freely while staying firmly attached to the fluid source.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the device of Buelna to include a flexible plastic tube to deliver irrigation fluid to the tube body because such is the standard in the art.

8. Claims 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buelna in view of Gentelia as applied to claim 7 above, and further in view of Haissaguerre et al (US 6068629). The probe of Buelna may include a first and second lumens. See Fig. 4A. Claim 24 differs from the teachings above in calling for the probe to include a stiffening wire. Haissaguerre teaches a probe body having an electrode on the distal end and further including a stiffening wire 66 that allows the user to manipulate and adjust the probe body during use (Col. 7, lines 48-50). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include a stiffening wire extending though the second lumen as taught by Haissaguerre to allow the user to manipulate and adjust the probe body during use.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LAURA A. BOUCHELLE whose telephone number is (571)272-2125. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8-4.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,811 Page 5

Art Unit: 3763

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 517-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Laura A Bouchelle Examiner Art Unit 3763

/Laura A Bouchelle/ Examiner, Art Unit 3763

/Nicholas D Lucchesi/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3763